

November 20, 2021

Hi, I'm contacting you to share my thoughts about the redistricting plans

I have lived in Plumas County since 1975. I lived in Meadow Valley for 35 years. I moved on Chandler Road and am currently in District 2, where I don't feel well represented. I do all of my business, commerce, and work in Quincy. Chandler Road residents are far more connected with District 4 than with District 2.

District 2 spans the entire width of the county, no other district covers that much territory. It seems unrealistic to expect a supervisor to represent that much of the county fairly and effectively, especially after the Dixie Fire.

I believe that community relevance is most important, with geographical boundaries, second. I understand the desire to split the population evenly between the supervisors, but I don't think it's necessary. Also, future population growth needs to be considered. Perhaps another district will need to be added in the future.

Below are some suggestions:

- Include Mohawk Vista, Whitehawk, Clio, in District 1, to make room for Greenhorn and Cromberg in District 5.
- Include Greenhorn and Cromberg in District 5.
- Include Chandler Road and East Quincy in Dist 4.
- Meadow Valley should remain in Dist 4.
- Bucks Lake is so seasonal and close to the west slope that it could be in Dist 5 with La Porte and Little Grass Valley Lake.
- District 1 could absorb some of the north eastern portions of District 2 or areas in the Canyon.

Thanks for considering these options!



Lucinda Berdon
1179 Amity Lane
Quincy CA 95971