

10. COUNTY COUNSEL NAMING COUNTY BUILDINGS, POLICY

Following discussion, motion by Supervisor Comstock, second by Supervisor Powers and carried to adopt the following policy in regard to naming county facilities:

1. The naming of County buildings and other County facilities shall be done only by the Board of Supervisors, by resolution adopted by majority vote.
2. Names can be based on location, function, historical events, organizations or individuals; however, preference will be given to names based on location or function. If a name is based on a person, the individual must have been deceased for at least one year and should have a significant contribution to public service or the betterment of Plumas County.
3. Nomination to change the name of a County building must be made by a Board member, and each nomination must be accompanied by three endorsement letters.
4. A building may not be named after any individual presently in elected office or serving as a County employee. An individual must be out of elective office or county employment for at least one year before their name can be nominated. No nominations can be made for persons who are alive or deceased. If the individual is deceased, their nomination can only be considered at a point one year following their death.
5. After a nomination is received, the Board would in open session, describe the building, park or facility to be named and announce the date of the future Board meeting when a determination of the name would be made.
6. Prior to the posting of the agenda, additional proposed names may be submitted to the Clerk of the Board by other supervisors, supported by at least three letters of endorsement.
7. In open session, the proposed names would be discussed.
8. Final action of the Board requires a Resolution adopted by majority vote.

The Board agrees to take nominations for naming the commercial building located at the Plumas-Sierra County Fairgrounds on November 06, 2007. Any proposed names shall be submitted to the Clerk of the Board.

TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX/SALES TAX, INCREASE

Discussion is held regarding whether the County should have the electorate decide whether to increase the Transient Occupancy Tax (TOT) and/or an increase in sales tax.

Following discussion and upon recommendation of Jack Ingstad, CAO, this matter is continued to December 04, 2007 for report and update on mid-year budget, calendar for public hearing notice dates, etc. At that time the Board will consider scheduling a workshop regarding this matter.

TAX INCREMENT POLICY

This matter is before the Board for consideration of a proposed new methodology for tax increment exchange. This methodology was discussed during negotiations with Eastern Plumas Rural Fire Protection District and with Gold Mountain CSD. The County tax negotiating committee (Supervisor Powers, Supervisor Thrall and County Counsel) believes this new methodology could perhaps be used in the interim until a final policy is established.

Discussion is held by the Board. Supervisor Comstock questions why the current policy is being changed and questions when a tax negotiating committee was formed by the Board.

Supervisor Powers believes the new methodology for tax increment exchange is a means to begin conversation with Special Districts and to move forward with negotiations. Supervisor Thrall concurs and informs the Board that all annexations within District 3 are currently at a stand still and Special District representatives are refusing to negotiate.

Supervisor Comstock requests a continuance for further discussion and to include a copy of the policy previously adopted by the Board.