

Rick Foster Taxpayer and Resident of Plumas County and I am going to make three comments and will endeavor to do it in three minutes.

One: The acoustics in this room are atrocious. When a presenter is speaking to the BOS with their back to the audience, it is very hard to hear is being said. At the last BOS meeting at least two of the members in the dais spoke to sound problems of the room. There was a loud motor moving the video screen while comments were being make. There was feedback and echo's from the ZOOM system, Ms. Lucero was trying to set the Volume correctly on the speakers as they were being used. When the air handling system for the Air Conditioning or heaters come on it completely drowns out anything being said. Could you please have the IT department and Facilities Manager see if any of these problems could be resolved.

Two: In July a letter that I sent to the BOS was read into the meetings notes. In September, as per a paragraph in the letter, I ask if there was going to be any answer to my questions. The Chair replied that the requests were being analyzed and tallied. Then another board member said that he thought I was asking for an answer and a specific time that the answer be given. The Chair then said that he would put the item on the agenda for the 17th of September. The item was not on the agenda at that date and has not yet been discussed. Although at that meeting the BOS did agree to re-imburse FRC for approximately \$35,000 in fines or fees that were incurred due to the fact that appropriate actions were not taken in a timely manner by the Tax Collectors office. In my letter I mention a number of sum's that the county might have paid due to similar circumstances in other departments and ask the BOS for a reckoning of all departments that might have paid fines or fees. Since I mentioning \$35,000 and it turned out to be correct I wonder if the \$45,000 and the \$250,000 I also mentioned might also be correct.

Three: In September the BOS passed a motion without giving proper notice to the public. The agreement to sign a contract with Engie does not meet California law concerning proper notification of the public concerning actions to be taken by the BOS. A year ago the BOS took out a notification action in the online Sun News before discussing an action and again approximately two weeks ago the BOS again put a notification in the Sun News concerning the upcoming budget. I am convinced that the BOS is aware of California law. There was no notice to the public about the financial action to be taken at the First BOS in September, previous discussions at BOS meetings do not meet the legal requirements. I feel that the vote should be rescinded and proper notification given before the matter is taken up again. Giving Notification only when you want to is not proper, I think that County Council would advise the board that the courts don't look upon arbitrary decisions by government entities with favor.