



Colonel Edward Mandell House Predicts the Creation of the STRAWMAN in the United States.

This is the first real evidence found that our current Social, Financial, and Legal system was deliberately designed to enslave humanity:

In a private meeting with Woodrow Wilson (*US President 1913 - 1921*) Colonel Edward Mandell House predicted the banksters' plans to enslave the American people. He stated:

"Very soon, every American will be required to register their biological property (*that's you and your children*) in a national system designed to keep track of the people and that will operate under the ancient system of pledging. By such methodology, we can compel people to submit to our agenda, which will affect our security as a charge back for our fiat paper currency.

"Every American will be forced to register or suffer being able to work and earn a living. They will be our chattels (property) and we will hold the security interest over them forever, by operation of the law merchant under the scheme of secured transactions. Americans, by unknowingly or unwittingly delivering the bills of lading (Birth Certificate) to us will be rendered bankrupt and insolvent, secured by their pledges.

"They will be stripped of their rights and given a commercial value designed to make us a profit and they will be none the wiser, for not one man in a million could ever figure our plans and, if by accident one or two should figure it out, we have in our arsenal plausible deniability. After all, this is the only logical way to fund government, by floating liens and debts to the registrants in the form of benefits and privileges.

"This will inevitably reap us huge profits beyond our wildest expectations and leave every American a contributor to this fraud, which we will call "Social Insurance." Without realizing it, every American will unknowingly be our servant, however begrudgingly. The people will become helpless and without any hope for their redemption and we will employ the high office (presidency) of our dummy corporation (USA) to foment this plot against America."

- Colonel Edward Mandell House.

PLC.

We Begin At The Birth:

The Internal Revenue Manual (I.R.M.) 21.7.13.3.2.2, “*An infant is the decedent of an estate* or grantor, owner or trustor of a trust, guardianship, receivership or custodianship that has yet to receive an SSN.” An infant is anyone under the age of 21.

Your Mother allegedly Abandoned You at birth. Have you noticed the Mother’s address is already pre-typed in one of the boxes? Have you noticed there is no address for the Father on the COLB? Have you noticed, it is the address of the Mother’s “MAIDEN”; *i.e.* “unmarried”, name in that box? And have you noticed they had the Mother sign as the Informant, and not the Father?

You are considered an infant, “Ward” of the “STATE” as your Mother as the “Informant”, (a person who informs on another person to the authority) signed your Record of Live Birth, allegedly acting as the Trustee of the Executors (Fathers) Estate. If she declared she was married, then the father and mother are one-in-law and thus the Mother would have the same authority as the Father: executor.

However, as an unmarried woman, they assume she is acting as the co-Executor of the Estate, or in the capacity of a Trustee; one with authority to sign over property. However, she has none without her husband’s approval, in a common law marriage. In a civil marriage, she has no authority. We must also note that the line of executor would fall on the nearest male relative. Not only is the mother without legal representation, no male is listed either. In fact, all Male relationships are left off the documentation.

There is absolutely no reason for the Father not to be on a birth record, except for religion. Matthew 23:9 And call no man your father on the earth: for one is your Father, *even* he who is in heaven. The first amendment clearly prevents the government from adding his name: *i.e.* “prohibits the making of any law respecting an establishment of religion or impeding the free exercise of religion”. Thus, the term “father” would impede the establishment of religion.

The STATE of OKLAHOMA’S very own Instructions on Completing the Birth Certificate: “Signature of Parent: Have parent review the Certificate of Live Birth for accuracy, read the statement contained in this section and sign this section certifying the accuracy of the certificate. We *suggest* that you ask only the **mother** to sign the birth certificate. **Never have a parent** sign a blank or incomplete certificate.”

Now why would the Dept. of Health and Vital Statistics teach Doctors, Nurses, and Hospital Administrators to ‘coerce’ the Mother into signing the “Certificate of Live Birth” instead of the Father, who is the Executor of the Estate? Because the Executor is the Highest Office of the Estate, and the STATE does not care to deal with Him; they would rather go after the Informant/Trustee instead.

Attempting to Administrate an Estate without written-authorized consent of the Executor is very costly; people go to prison, but if they can ‘coerce’ the Mother/Informant/Trustee to sign over the property, then they “appear” to have a legal leg to stand on. However, it is all based in fraud. Keep as many people as you can ignorant, then you can have the blind leading the blind.

Explaining the Executors Office and the Certificate of Live Birth:

NOTE: An Estate must come before a Trust. The STATE issued the Child a “Certificate of Deceased”, the Grantor, via footprints, created the office for the new Estate; the legal-fiction, corporate YOU, in which They, were the witnesses of.

1. The Woman is her own Estate in which she is the Executrix if she has reached legal age. If not, her Father is the Executor of her Estate until that time.
2. The Man is his own Estate in which he is the Executor once he comes of legal age, or marries. Until then, his father is the Executor of his Estate.
3. When they get married, her estate becomes one with his. If it is a civil marriage, they have both contracted with the “STATE”, not joined in a spiritual union with God, but two people co-habiting with the blessings of the “STATE”.
4. The Woman’s Estate now becomes property of the Man in a common law marriage. In a civil

union, marriage license, the Man controls the property.

5. The Two of them come together and have a Child. The Child is property of the "STATE" due to the marriage license. However, the Grantor created the office for the executor's use, via feet prints. None the less, the Executor's Estate is abandoned until he/she reaches legal age, of course, unless the Father or Mother comprehends this stuff. As neither, the Mother nor the Father is ever made aware of this fact.
6. The STATE coerces the Mother into signing the Record of Live Birth as the "Informant", presumably acting as the Trustee.
7. By doing this, acting as the Trustee of the Executors Estate, (the Father) and giving the Child to the STATE, ultimately abandoning the Child. The child, you, are the estate.
8. The STATE runs an add in the local paper announcing the birth and abandonment of the Child (they leave out the abandonment wording).

***** That Was Public Notice and Due Process of Law *****

9. FRAUD. Fraud is odious and not to be presumed. Thus, we must presume this is a valid contract unless you can prove this points. When the contract is fraudulent, it is void, for fraud vitiates everything. As to cases when a condition consists of several parts, and some are lawful and others are not, the whole thing is fraud, unless there is a severability clause, then only that part is to be singled out.
10. The Executor (Father) never shows up to claim his abandoned property, so the STATE takes control. We must make note, I have never seen the "Record of Live Birth" only that of the Certificate Of Live Birth, and the COLB has the fathers name on it, or someone presumed to be the father, or no one named as father. None-the-less no one claims the abandoned property, i.e. executor or executrix, thus leaving the "STATE" or others to control the property. Now the infant becomes a Ward of the STATE.
11. The Doctor sends the Record of Live Birth to the STATE Health Dept. and Vital Statistics. The STATE sends the Record of Live Birth to the Registrar's Office, where a new Estate's will is recognized and now placed in Probate.

NOTE: Will is desire, initiating action, having intentions, to make things happen. The Executor is to carry out the Will of the Grantor. The Grantor being you, "feet prints": the estate being you: the office created by the Grantor, you, for the occupant, you: to carry out the Will of the testator, you: for the one who is deceased, you.

12. They split the title and create what's known as the "Certificate of Live Birth", and send that newly created Office (The COLB) to the Child in the mail; it's his/her new identity, and when the Child reaches legal age, he can now become the Occupant of the Executors Office of that newly created Estate, but is never made aware of this. FRAUD: concealment of a material fact to induce another to act to his or her detriment.

NOTE: The STATE cannot do business with, or enter into contracts with a living-breathing human being. This is why they created the "Certificate of Live Birth", making you appear to be a fictitious entity. They had to turn you into a corporation so they could control you by way of contracts using Trust-Estate, and Probate Law.

NOTE: The CESTUI QUE VIA Act of 1666 made us all dead at birth to protect our property; thus if you abandoned the estate, cast beyond the sea; lost at sea; dead to the world, and if one day we were ever to return from sea and announce that we are alive, we can take our lawful place as Executors of our own Estates. During this time, more ships, more travel by sea and more people leaving a country for the new world "America", never to return.

Definitions:

Reversioner: a person who possesses the reversion to a property or privilege.

Reversion: the right, esp. of the original owner or their heirs, to possess or succeed to property on the death of the present possessor or at the end of a lease

- a property to which someone has such a right.
- the right of succession to an office or post after the death or retirement of the holder.

Cestui Que: "The person for whose use the feoffment was made."

Feoffment (or Enfeoffment) in English law was a transfer of land or property that gave the new holder the right to sell it as well as the right to pass it on to his heirs as an inheritance. It was total relinquishment and transfer of all rights of ownership of an estate in land from one individual to another.

Recitals: the part of a legal document that explains the purpose of the deed and gives factual information.

Revert: of property: return or pass to the original owner by reversion.

Tenement: a piece of land held by an owner; Law: any kind of permanent property, e.g., lands or rents, held from a superior.

Warrant:

- a document issued by a legal or government official authorizing to carry out some action relating to the administration of justice.
- To justify, vindicate, call for, sanction, validate; permit, authorize; deserve, excuse, account for, legitimize; support, license, approve of; merit, qualify for, rate, be worthy of, be deserving of;
- To guarantee, affirm, swear, promise, vow, pledge, undertake, state, assert, declare, profess, attest; vouch, testify, bear witness; formal aver.

Here it is on the UK's Government website, with complete instructions on how to announce you are now alive; occupy the Executors Office. <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Cha2/18-19/11>:

Cestui Que Vie Act 1666

An Act for Redresses of Inconveniencies by want of Proof of the Deceases of Persons beyond the Seas or absenting themselves, upon whose Lives Estates does depend. Recital that Cestui que vies have gone beyond Sea, and that Reversioners cannot find out whether they are alive or dead.

Whereas diverse Lords of Manors and others have granted Estates by Lease for one or more life or lives, or else for years determinable upon one or more life or lives And it hath often happened that such person or persons for whose life or lives such Estates have been granted have gone beyond the Seas or so absented themselves for many years that the Lessors and Reversioners cannot find out whether such person or persons be alive or dead by reason whereof such Lessors and Reversioners have been held out of possession of their Tenements for many years after all the lives upon which such Estates depend are dead in regard that the Lessors and Reversioners when they have brought Actions for the recovery of their Tenements have been put upon it to prove the death of their Tenants when it is almost impossible for them to discover the same. For remedy of which mischief so frequently happening to such Lessors or Reversioners.

Cestui que vie remaining beyond Sea for Seven Years together and no Proof of their Lives, Judge in Action to direct a Verdict as though Cestui que vie were dead.

If such person or persons for whose life or lives such Estates have been or shall be granted as aforesaid shall remain beyond the Seas or elsewhere absent themselves in this Realm by the space of seven years together and no sufficient and evident proof be made of the lives of such person or persons

respectively in any Action commenced for recovery of such Tenements by the Lessors or Reversioners in every such case the person or persons upon whose life or lives such Estate depended shall be accounted as naturally dead, And in every Action brought for the recovery of the said Tenements by the Lessors or Reversioners their Heirs or Assignees, the Judges before whom such Action shall be brought shall direct the Jury to give their Verdict as if the person so remaining beyond the Seas or otherwise absenting himself were dead.

If the supposed dead Man prove to be alive, then the Title is reverted. Action for mean Profits with Interest.

Provided always That if any person or persons shall be evicted out of any Lands or Tenements by virtue of this Act, and afterwards if such person or persons upon whose life or lives such Estate or Estates depend shall return again from beyond the Seas, or shall on proof in any Action to be brought for recovery of the same to be made appear to be living; or to have been living at the time of the Eviction That then and from thenceforth the Tenant or Lessee who was ousted of the same his or their Executors Administrators or Assignees shall or may reenter repossess have hold and enjoy the said Lands or Tenements in his or their former Estate for and during the Life or Lives or so long term as the said person or persons upon whose Life or Lives the said Estate or Estates depend shall be living, and also shall upon Action or Actions to be brought by him or them against the Lessors Reversioners or Tenants in possession or other persons respectively which since the time of the said Eviction received the Profits of the said Lands or Tenements recover for damages the full Profits of the said Lands or Tenements respectively with lawful Interest for and from the time that he or they were ousted of the said Lands or Tenements, and kept or held out of the same by the said Lessors Reversioners Tenants or other persons who after the said Eviction received the Profits of the said Lands or Tenements or any of them respectively as well in the case when the said person or persons upon whose Life or Lives such Estate or Estates did depend are or shall be dead at the time of bringing of the said Action or Actions as if the said person or persons where then living.]

13. Now the Child grows up and remains an incompetent Ward of the STATE because he/she never steps up and assumes their proper roles as the Executor/Executrix of their own Estate once they reach legal age.
14. The now adult uses this COLB as their sole source of identity, even though the STATE advised not to use it as identity (can you say, incompetent?). Just as they say not to use the SS Card as identity.
15. Oddly enough the same government that says not to use the COLB, demands that we do use the COLB in order to get "permission" to do things, like drivers license, work, get a social security card, checking account, etc. Permission from our guardians as we are treated as 'incompetent adult' aka 'Ward of the STATE'.
16. Now the adult-incompetent is masquerading around town, using this Certificate of Live Birth as identity to get into other adhesion contracts, and basically acting as an agent of the foreign corporation known as the UNITED STATES.
17. Presumed to be a US Citizen is now obligated to pay an income tax; and excise tax; a property tax, and ultimately be subject to the STATE. Now you are obligated to abide by their statutes, rules, and regulations.

NOTE: There is a catch to this #17: They are 'presuming' you're an employee of their corporation, but if you are not receiving a paycheck, and there was no employment contract, and they cannot provide proof of pay, then what do they have? Do you work for free? Can they compel you to work for free? That COLB is an Office; you are the Occupant of that Office, and as the Occupant of that Office, should not you be paid for your services?

18. Without taking a hold of the office as the occupant, you have lost your Inherent Rights and have been "granted" rights and privileges instead. You are a tenement to your own estate. Like being a renter to your own home and have to pay someone else for the privilege to live on your own land.

Daddy never showed up to claim his property, and the STATE took it upon them to presume the Child is theirs to control; take it in as their own. The Child is now considered a Ward of the STATE; an incompetent bastard Child with no Father, and the Mother abandoned him/her.

The “Certificate of Live Birth” has a STATE Seal and Registrars Signature, which is certifiable proof the Estate is in or has been in Probate. The Registrar is the court of Probate and Probate deals with Estates of the deceased, one who is in the act of dying.

To the courts we are dead; Missing in action: legal fictitious entities; wards of the STATE; bastard Children; Orphans: essentially infant, which means, unable to speak. They do not wish to deal with us directly. This is why they want an attorney to speak to them.

The BAR Attorney has a Superseding Oath to the BAR aka British Accreditation Registry; their first loyalty is to the court. They are there to lead the sheep to their slaughterer, the Undertaker in the Black Robe. The judge is Administering the Estate of the incompetent, and his main objective is to make revenue for the STATE, which is acting as the Beneficiary of the Estate, and You and I are being put into the Trustee position of our own Estates.

Now you understand why the Lord said “Woe unto Ye Lawyers”.

BAR Attorney’s first allegiance is to the Crown, not you. They are there to make you believe someone is fighting for you, but the truth of the matter is: They are there to help the presumed Administrator of your Estate (the BAR attorney wearing the Black Robe-Undertaker) make as much money as possible for the court, him/herself, and the STATE.

Read it again at the top of this post, right out of the Corpus Juris Secundum: You are a WARD OF THE STATE, an IMBECILE, A MENACE TO SOCIETY, and INCOMPETENT, essentially, unable to speak intelligently, an infant, and that is the truth, take it as you will.

NOTE: I am not saying all attorneys are scumbags that are intentionally trying to harm you. Some of them know what they are doing, and some of them probably truly believe they are doing the best they can to help their clients. But, it is all about the Estate; it is all about the money, and it is all about your slavery and unjustly enriching the STATE in the end. It is a Constructive Fraud upon you from birth, and that is my heartfelt opinion; take it as you will.

Therefore, claim your rightful inheritance, claim to be living, or better yet, the executor of the estate. You have come to clean up the mess of the trustees and those who are acting without you giving them authority, *i.e.* warrant.



[Declaration of Independence - 1776](#)
[Articles of Confederation - 1777](#)
[The Constitution for the United States, Its Sources and Its Application](#)



PARENTS PATRIAE.... GOVERNMENT AS PARENT

"When in the Course of human events it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another....."

These are the words that started a Revolution propelling several English colonies into the nation known as "The United States of America."

This new nation was designed to function under the laws of Nature and Nature's God. The people believed they would never again hear the words of enslavement, i.e.; "under the sovereignty of the King." Living under the sovereignty of the King made you the King's chattel. He owned you. You were his property. You could own nothing, not even your children. The King ruled by divine right.

The framers of this new nation designed the Constitution to be a government "Of The People, By The People, For The People." Representatives of this government were to be elected by the people, not born to power. And so, in 1776 the great experiment in freedom, known as "The United States of America" began.

People from each colony fought in the Great War to enable the colony to become a **Sovereign Nation State**. These States then created a new state, designed to exclusively serve the several Sovereign Nation States. Under this concept the nation of **States united** was born. Every Sovereign Nation State joining the Union had a Constitution. The newly created State of the union received one as well. It was written by delegates of the people of the several states and when ratified by two-thirds of the people's conventions of the then Thirteen Independent and Sovereign States was ordained and established as "The Constitution for the United States of America." This new Union of States was comprised only of those states which had ratified the Constitution. (North Carolina did not join the union until 11 months after the United States was established, and Rhode Island held out for nearly a year and a half, and continued to operate under the King's Charter until 1842.)

The government of the United States was "**delegated**" only 20 grants of power [See [Constitution Art 1, Sec 8](#)] and ten things were carefully enumerated which the government may not do, [See [Constitution Art 1, Sec 9](#)], and 10 further restrictions were added in the first 10 amendments [See [Bill of Rights](#)] to the Constitution by the several states. **The people never intended that government of the United States should over step it's delegated authorities.**

Some scholars believe the freedom ended before the ink was dry on the contract written between the people and their new government, "**The Constitution**." There is some question as to exactly where and when the new nation faltered. Some say it was in 1789, with the Judiciary Act. Others say it was after the Civil War. Still others claim it was in 1913 or 1921 or perhaps in 1933 History tells us the Supreme Court of the United States government claims it was when the Union itself was formed.

In the case *New Hampshire v. Louisiana* and others.; *New York v. Louisiana* and others, (1) it states that: "all the rights of the States as **Independent nations were surrendered to the United States. The States are not nations, either as between themselves or towards foreign nations.** They are sovereign within their spheres, but their sovereignty stops short of nationality. Their political status at home and abroad is that of States in the united States. They can neither make war nor peace without the consent of the national government. Neither can they, except with like consent, "enter into any agreement or compact with another State." [Art. 1, sec. 10, cl. 3.](#) "The relation of one of the united States to its citizens is not that of an independent sovereign State to its citizens. A sovereign State seeking redress of another sovereign State on behalf of its citizens can resort to war or refusal, which a State cannot do. The state, having been a sovereign, with powers to make war, issue letters of marque and reprisal, and otherwise to act in a belligerent way, resigned these powers into the control of the United States, to be held in trust."

In *United States v. Chamberlin*, (2) the Supreme Court of the United States Decided, to wit:

"It is a familiar principle that the King is not bound by any act of Parliament unless he be named therein by special and particular words. The most general words that can be devised (for example, any person or persons, bodies politic or corporate) affect not him in the least, if they may tend to restrain or diminish any of his rights and interests. He may even take the benefit of any particular act, though not named. **The rule thus settled respecting the British Crown is equally applicable to this government, and it has been applied frequently in the different states, and in practically all the federal courts.** It may be considered as settled that so much of the royal prerogatives as belonged to the King in his capacity of **Parents Patriae**, or universal trustee, enters as much into our political state as it does into the principles of the British Constitution."

Under most religious law, the children belong to the parents. It is a moral obligation on the part of the parents to care for and educate their children in their existing social values and morals.

In 1921, the federal Sheppard-Towner Maternity Act (3) was passed creating birth "registration" or what we now know as the "birth certificate." It was known as the "Maternity Act" and was sold to the American people as a law that would reduce maternal and infant mortality, protect the health of mothers and infants, and for other purposes. One of those other purposes provided for the establishment of a

federal bureau designed to cooperate with state agencies in the overseeing of its operations and expenditures. This can now be seen as the first attempt of "government by appointment," or cooperation of state governments to aid the federal government in usurping the legislative process of the several states as exists today through the federal grant in aid to the states programs.

Prior to 1921 the records of births and names of children were entered into family bibles, as were the records of marriages and deaths. These records were readily accepted by both the family and the law as "official" records. Since 1921 the American people have been registering the births and names of their children with the government of the state in which they are born, even though there is no federal law requiring it. The state claims an interest in every child within its jurisdiction, telling the parents that registering their child's birth through the birth certificate serves as proof that he/she was born within territories of the United States, thereby making him/her a United States citizen.

In 1923, a suit was brought against federal officials charged with the administration of the act. (Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. Mellon, Secretary of the Treasury, et al.; Frothingham v. Mellon, Secretary of the Treasury et al.). ⁴⁴ The plaintiff, Mrs. Frothingham, averred that the act was unconstitutional, and that its purpose was to induce the States to yield sovereign rights reserved by them and not granted the federal government, under the Constitution, and that the burden of the appropriations falls unequally upon the several States. The complaint stated the naked contention that Congress has usurped reserved powers of the States by the mere enactment of the statute, though nothing has been, or is to be, done under it without their consent. Mr. Alexander Lincoln, Assistant Attorney General, argued for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. To wit:

"The act is unconstitutional. It purports to vest in agencies of the Federal Government powers which are almost wholly undefined, in matters relating to maternity and infancy, and to authorize appropriations of federal funds for the purposes of the act.

Many examples may be given and were stated in the debates on the bill in Congress of regulations which may be imposed under the act. The forced registration of pregnancy, governmental parental control of important matters, regulation on the right of a woman to secure the services of a midwife or physician of her own selection, are measures to which the people of those States which accept its provisions may be subjected. There is nothing which prohibits the payment of subsidies out of federal appropriations. *Regulations of matrimony may be made compulsory. The authority of birth control and physical inspection of persons deemed fit may be required.*

The act gives all necessary powers to cooperate with the state agencies in the administration of the act. Hence it is given the power to assist in the enforcement of the plans submitted to it, and for that purpose by its agents to go into the several States and to do those acts for which the plans submitted may provide. As to what those plans shall provide the final arbiters are the Bureau and the Board. The fact that it was considered necessary to prohibit laws to preserve from invasion by federal authority the right of the parent to the custody and care of his child and the control of his home after birth by which are the powers which were intended to be granted by the act."

It was further stated in the complaint that "The act is invalid because it imposes powers not granted to Congress and usurps the state police power." ⁴⁵ In more recent cases, however, the Court has shown that there are limits to the power of Congress to pass legislation purporting to be based on one of the powers expressly granted to Congress which in fact usurps the reserved powers of the States, and that laws showing on their face detailed regulation of a matter wholly within the police power of the States will be held to be unconstitutional although they purport to be passed in the exercise of some constitutional power. ⁴⁶

It went on to state:

"The act is not made valid by the circumstance that federal powers are to be exercised only with respect to those States which accept the act, for Congress cannot create, and state legislatures cannot yield, the powers reserved to the States by the Constitution. ⁴⁷ The act is invalid because it imposes on each State an illegal obligation to yield a part of its power reserved by the tenth Amendment or to give up the share of representation under the act."

"A statute attempting, by requiring conditions upon a general privilege, to create a waiver of a constitutional right, is null and void." ⁴⁸

"The act is invalid because it sets up a system of government by usurpation between the Federal Government and certain of the States, and provided by the Constitution."

"Congress cannot make laws for the States, and it cannot interfere in the States in respect to their laws for the benefit of the Federal Government." ⁴⁹

In 1933, bankruptcy was *covertly* declared by President Roosevelt. The governors of the then 48 States pledged the "full faith and credit" of their states, including the citizenry, as collateral for loans of credit from the Federal Reserve system. The "Full faith and credit" clause of the U.S. Constitution, Article 4, Sec. 1, requires that foreign judgment be given such faith and credit as it had by law or usage of state of its origin. That foreign statutes are to have force and effect to which they are entitled in home state. And that a judgment or record shall have the same faith, credit, conclusive effect, and obligatory force in other states as it has by law or usage in the state from whence taken. Black's Law Dictionary, 4th Ed. cites omitted.

Today the federal government "mandates, orders and compels" the states to enforce federal jurisdiction upon its citizens/subjects. This author believes the federal government draws its de facto jurisdiction for these actions from the "Doctrine of *Parrens Patriae*." *Parrens Patriae* means literally, "parent of the country." It refers traditionally to the role of *STATE* as sovereign and guardian of persons under legal disability. *Parrens Patriae* originates from the English common law where the King had a royal prerogative to act as guardian to persons with legal disabilities such as infants.

With the birth registration established, the federal government, under the doctrine of *Parens Patriae*, had the mechanism to take over all the assets of the American people and put them into debt into perpetuity. Under this doctrine, if one is born with a disability, the state, (the sovereign) has the responsibility to take care of you. This author believes that the disability you are born with is, in fact, the birth itself. I believe that when you are born, you are born free, a "citizen of the soil," an American National. Parents, without full disclosure under law, make application for a "birth certificate," thereby making the child a citizen of the corporate government known as the United States. The government then turns the new citizen into a corporation, a legal fiction, under the laws of the state. The birth information is collected by the state and is then turned over to the U.S. Department of Commerce. The corporation is then placed into a "trust", known as a "Cestui Que Trust". A cestui que trust is defined as: "He who has a right in a corporeal hereditament by right and not by virtue of the legal title in which it is vested in another; The beneficiary of trustees;" Cestui que use is : "He for whose use and benefit lands or tenements are held by another. The cestui que user has the right to receive the profits and benefits of the estate, but the legal title and possession, as well the duty of defending the same, reside in the other."

The government becomes the Trustee, while the child becomes the beneficiary of his own trust. Legal title to everything the child will ever own is now vested in the federal government. The government then places the Trust into the hands of the parents, who are made the "guardians." The child may reside in the hands of the guardians (parents) until such time as the state claims that the parents are no longer capable to serve. The state then goes into the home and removes the "trust" from the guardians. At majority, the parents lose their guardianship.

The subject of every birth certificate is a child. The child is a valuable asset, which if properly trained, can contribute valuable assets provided by its labor for many years. The child itself is the asset of the trust established by the birth certificate. "Title to your child is now owned by the state. The state now directs the trust corpus and provides "benefits" for the beneficiary -- the corpus and beneficiary being one and the same -- the citizen -- first as child, then as adult.

The debt transfers from the death of one corpus to the birth of another through the process known as "Novation." Novation is defined as "the substitution of a new contract between same or different parties; The substitution of a new debt or obligation for an existing one. The substitution of one debtor for another or of one creditor for another, whereby the old debt is extinguished." This author believes the debt of an individual is extinguished at his death, and the same debt is then transferred to a new individual when he/she is born through the registering of the birth, thereby creating a new corpus that will again reside in the hands of the trust.

Each one of us, including our children, are considered assets of the bankrupt United States which acts as the "Debtor in Possession." We are now designated by this government as "Human Resources," with new such resources being added (born) continually. The bankruptcy is a receivership, rather than a discharged bankruptcy. The bankruptcy debts are serviced, not paid or discharged. The Human Resources service the debt, which continues to grow with time.

The federal government, under Title 15, U.S.C., re-delegates federal *Parens Patriae* authority to the state attorney generals. The attorney generals' can now enforce all legislation involving your personal life, the lives of your children, and your material assets.

In today's society the government, through the doctrine of *Parens Patriae*, has already instituted its control of our children through the legislative process. Medical treatments are enforced through the court with threats of loss of your child if the treatment is challenged. Vaccinations are now mandatory. Refusal may result in the loss of your child under the guise of "child neglect" (failure to preserve the trust corpus). If you spank your child or cause him/her any embarrassment or indignities, you are also at risk of having your child taken from you under the guise of child abuse (damaging the trust corpus).

Some states have legislation either pending or passed to give social workers arrest authority. School nurses may now report any suspected child abuse in the proper authorities. Warrantless searches of your home are tolerated by the courts, all in the name of safety for the child.

The Sun Sentinel, a Florida news paper, reported on March 15, 1996 that limits on the ability of divorced parents to relocate when minor children are involved were clarified by the Florida Supreme Court. The high court three years ago approved a policy favoring relocation requests of custodial parents as long as such moves are made in good faith for the well being of parents and children. Also, the justices ruled at that time, moves cannot be made "from a vindictive desire to interfere with the visitation rights of the other parent." The right of locomotion is held as an element of personal liberty. Restraint upon the right of locomotion was a well-known feature of slavery abolished by the Thirteenth Amendment. A first requisite of the right to appropriate the use of another man was to become the master of his natural power of motion. The control by government courts (supra) of an individuals' freedom of locomotion could be construed as a sign of ownership of the individual, or slavery.

It has been reported that in California, early in the year 1996, an assembly woman, in regard to education policy, made the statement "The children belong to the state."

Parens Patriae legislation covers every area of your personal life. Federal *Parens Patriae* legislation can be found in Title 15 of the United States Code:

TITLE 15, Sec. 15h. Applicability of *Parens Patriae* actions:

STATUTE- Sections 15c, 15d, 15e, 15f, and 15g of this title shall apply in any State, unless such State provides by law for its non-applicability in such State.

The primary responsibility of a State is to protect its citizens from the tyranny of the federal government. The Federal Constitution claims a citizen can seek redress and protection under the 14th Amendment of the Federal Constitution for any state legislation that brings them an injury by depriving them of a civil right. A state may sue the Federal government for protection for its citizens if federal legislation violates the Constitutions of the several states and brings harm to its citizens. The 14th Amendment did not authorize congress to create a code of

municipal law for the regulation of private rights. Positive rights and privileges are undoubtedly secured by the fourteenth amendment, but they are secured by way of prohibition against state laws and state proceedings affecting those rights and privileges. The amendment was intended to provide against state laws, or state action of some kind, adverse to the rights of the citizen secured by the amendment. Such legislation cannot properly cover the whole domain of rights appertaining to life, liberty and property, defining them and providing for their vindication. That would be to establish a code of municipal law regulative of all private rights between man and man in society. It would be to make congress take the place of the state legislatures and to supersede them.

However, the Supreme Court in the above case ruled that: A State may not, as *Parens Patriae*, institute judicial proceedings to protect her citizens (who are no less citizens of the United States), from the operation of a federal statute upon the ground that, as applied to them, it is unconstitutional.

"The Parens Patriae power has been recognized and exercised from time immemorial in being under the rule of a tyrant."

Note: The Maternity Act was eventually repealed, but parts of it have been found in other legislative acts. What this act attempted to do was set up government by appointment, run by bureaucrats with re-delegated authority outside of Constitutional authority, with the ability to tax, which is in itself unconstitutional and represents taxation without representation. This type of government is in place today and is known as *"Bureaucratism."* The federal government couldn't fool the people in 1921 into surrendering their sovereignty,

..... but in 1932

Footnotes:

1. New Hampshire v. Louisiana and others.; New York v. Louisiana and others. 108 U.S. 76, 27 L. Ed. 656, 2 S. Ct. 176, March 5, 1883.
2. United States v. Chamberlin 219 U.S. 250, 55 L. Ed. 204, 31 S. Ct. 155, January 3, 1911
3. Sheppard-Towner Maternity Act, Public Law 97, 67th Congress, Session I, chapter 135.
4. Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. Mellon, Secretary of the Treasury, et al.; Frothingham v. Mellon, Secretary of the Treasury et al., 262 U.S. 447, 67 L. Ed. 1078, 43 S. Ct. 597.
5. McCulloch v. Maryland, 4 Wheat. 316, 405; United States v. Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 542, 549-551.
6. Hammer v. Dagenhart, 247 U.S. 251; Child Labor Tax Case, 259 U.S. 20; Hill v. Wallace, 259 U.S. 44.
7. Message of President Monroe, May 4, 1822; 4 Elliot's Debates, p. 525; Pollard's Lessee v. Hagan, 3 How. 212; Escanaba Co. v. Chicago, 107 U.S. 678; Coyle v. Oklahoma, 221 U.S. 559; Cincinnati v. Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co., 223 U.S. 390.
8. Harrison v. St. Louis & San Francisco R.R. Co., 232 U.S. 318; Terral v. Burke Construction Co., 257 U.S. 529.
9. *In re Rahrer*, 140 U.S. 545; Knickerbocker Ice Co. v. Stewart, 253 U.S. 149; Opinion of the Justices, 239 Mass. 606.

See Also ["The Unconstitutional Fourteenth Amendment"](#)

Reproduction of all or any parts of the above text may be used for general information.

This HTML presentation is copyright by Barefoot & J.R. August 1997

Mirroring is not Netiquette without the Express Permission of Barefoot.



Visit [Barefoot's World](#) and Educate Yo'Self



E-MAIL

On the Web August 13, 1997

[Three mighty important things, Parens; LOVE And PEACE and FREEDOM](#)



American Patriot Email Reports

"...That I should bear witness to the truth." - John 18:37 // David E. Robinson, Publisher

BIRTH CERTIFICATE Equals Chattel Property


Posted on May 21, 2022 by David Robinson

Your BORN Date precedes (comes before) Your BIRTH Date

PEOPLE ARE BORN – THINGS ARE BIRTHED

“BORN” on to the land, “BIRTHED” into corporations

https://simple.wikipedia.org/wiki/Birth_certificate



This entry was posted in Uncategorized. Bookmark the [permalink](#).

American Patriot Email Reports

"...That I should bear witness to the truth." – John 18:37 // David E. Robinson, Publisher

David Straight Utah Seminar Index

Posted on May 22, 2022 by David Robinson

David Straight Utah Seminar Day 1 P M part 1 of 5



David Straight Utah Seminar Day 1 P M part 2 of 5



Climate Activists Seek To Save The Planet By Cutting-Down & Burying Trees



BY TYLER DURDEN

SUNDAY, OCT 15, 2023 - 10:25 AM

Authored by Autumn Spredemann via The Epoch Times (emphasis ours),

Cutting down trees to manage wildfires isn't a new thing, although it remains a hotly debated practice.



(George Frey/AFP Via Getty Images)

Tree thinning is a disputed procedure that has drawn as much criticism within the environmental community as support. Many scientists, researchers, and conservationists are against it, saying tree thinning can even worsen wildfires.

However, America's woodlands have been culled for more than two decades for fire management. Now, **climate activists are jumping into the conversation with a "carbon capture" argument for tree thinning.**

Activists such as Microsoft co-founder Bill Gates have thrown their weight, and checkbooks, behind the practice of cutting down trees and burying them to address fears over carbon emissions.

Through his foundation **Breakthrough Energy Ventures**, Mr. Gates is a part of the \$6.6 million seed [investor](#) pool backing **Kodama Systems** in its proposal to remove trees in California's fire-challenged woodlands and bury them in Nevada to sequester carbon dioxide (CO₂).


"We must dramatically accelerate forest thinning treatments," the Boston-based firm says on its [website](#). Kodama calls itself a "technology-driven forest restoration service."

Mr. Gates is well known for his headline-grabbing methods of addressing his climate concerns—from buying up vast swaths of U.S. farmland to backing wild-card experiments such as solar geoengineering and, most recently, criticizing tree planting as a viable means of reducing CO₂.

During The New York Times Climate Forward Summit in September, the billionaire didn't hesitate to share his thoughts on the role of planting trees to mitigate climate concerns, calling it "complete nonsense."

In an interview with NY Times reporter David Gelles, Mr. Gates [responded](#) dismissively to the idea that planting more trees can reverse adverse climate effects.

"That's complete nonsense ... I mean, are we the science people, or are we the idiots?" Mr. Gates asked rhetorically.



Bill Gates is a part of the \$6.6 million seed investor pool backing Kodama Systems in its proposal to remove trees in California's fire-challenged woodlands. (Kevin Dietsch/Getty Images)

Critics are quick to point out holes in the logic surrounding the claimed benefits of culling trees and burying them.

"This is a spectacularly bad and counter-productive idea," Chad Hanson, a research ecologist and co-founder of the John Muir Project, told The Epoch Times.

He says existing trees and forests are "by far, our best and most effective means" to reduce any "excess of carbon in our atmosphere."

Additionally, selective culling poses a risk to old-growth trees, which [research](#) indicates capture vastly more atmospheric carbon than their younger counterparts.

Living trees store a massive amount of atmospheric carbon. One [estimate](#) puts the CO₂ storage value of U.S. forests and grasslands at 866 million metric tons per year. For perspective, that equates to the annual emissions from 50 million gasoline- or diesel-fueled vehicles.



The U.S. Forest Service reports that the nation's forests and forest products offset nearly 16 percent of domestic carbon dioxide emissions. (Scott Olson/Getty Images)

Some research does support the theory that burying debris from cut trees can work as a form of carbon capture. One 2019 study [showed](#) that storing wood biomass can remove billions of tons of carbon annually.

"Trees continue to sequester and store more and more carbon as they get older, and this is true no matter how old they get," Mr. Hanson said in countering that point. "Cutting existing trees and burying them eliminates their ability to draw down and reduce atmospheric carbon."

No in-depth analysis exists on the asserted benefits or secondary environmental effects of tree thinning and debris storage.

"Research related to sustainability in the field of social and environmental impacts of carbon capture has not been done enough and this field of studies is still immature," a [study](#) published in Science Direct states.

On its website, [Kodama Systems](#) [notes](#) that carbon accounting frameworks are being developed, but didn't offer further details.

Representatives of Kodama didn't respond to a request by The Epoch Times for comment.



A man examines a stack of pine logs near Deer Lodge, Mont., on Sept. 12, 2019. (Chip Somodevilla/Getty Images)

The argument to leave mature forests and dense canopy intact—strictly for CO2 sequestration—has support from top scientific researchers.

William Moomaw, founding director of the Center for International Environment and Resource Policy at Tufts University's Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy, is among what he calls the "proforestation" school of thought on storing atmospheric carbon.

He's an avid supporter of the planting of trees and advocates for leaving older and middle-aged forests alone, because of their superior carbon storage abilities.

"The most effective thing that we can do is to allow trees that are already planted, that are already growing, to continue growing to reach their full ecological potential, to store carbon, and develop a forest that has its full complement of environmental services," Mr. Moomaw said during a 2019 [interview](#) with Yale Environment 360.

"Letting existing natural forests grow is essential to any climate goal we have."

Culling to Mitigate Fire Risks

Tree thinning also has a debatable track record in wildfire management, but has a growing bench of supporters in the public and private sectors.

The U.S. Forest Service has made selective tree culling a critical part of its [10-year](#) plan for wildfire management.



Firefighters cut down a burning tree during the Dixie Fire near Westwood, Calif., on Aug. 12, 2021. (Justin Sullivan/Getty Images)

Officials hope to use the method on millions of forested acres by 2030.

"We estimate that a total of 50 million acres of forests in the U.S. need hazardous fuels and forest health treatments to address the growing wildfire crisis," U.S. Forest Service spokesman John Winn told The Epoch Times.

He said 20 million of the acres are on national forests and grasslands, and 30 million acres are on other lands.

"Nearly a quarter of the contiguous U.S. remains at moderate to very high risk of severe wildfires," Mr. Winn said.

He said some [studies](#) support tree thinning as a tool in forest fire mitigation.

Read more [here...](#)

89,764 426

MORE ENERGY STORIES ON ZEROHEDGE



[Oil Slides On Biden-Maduro Deal For "Freer" Venezuela Elections, Easing Export Sanctions](#)



[Oil's Most Legendary Trader And Permabull Turns Bearish](#)

CBDC – The End of All Freedom

Posted Dec 17, 2023 By Martin Armstrong | [3 minutes read](#)

SPREAD THE LOVE



QUESTION: Marty: You have mentioned that Trudeau's freezing of accounts of anyone who donated to the Truckers was a test run for CBDCs. Do you think this is the end goal to control 100% of our lives?

GD

ANSWER: Hopefully, this will become a Presidential campaign issue. But they are desperate to stop Trump. Congress, including the traitors pretending to be Republicans, passed legislation that NO president can withdraw the US from NATO. This will enable the Neocons to start war BEFORE the 2024 election, and this legislation is to usurp the power of the president, assuming it might be Trump to make it so that he cannot exit World War III. Every politician who voted for that legislation should be thrown out of office in 2024 – PERIOD!

That said, the CBDCs are intended to control our social behavior. This transforms society into a digital prison, which is why the Founding Fathers outlawed Direct Taxation. The rally to Marx at the end of the 19th century led to the introduction of the Income Tax in 1913, and they swore they were going only after the trich. By World War II, they introduced the Payroll Tax because Roosevelt's Marxist agenda was to include Social Security, and we, of course, had to be **FORCED** to save for our own future. That became a slush fund that was restricted to buying only government debt to fund this Marxist agenda.

You are being imprisoned with every piece of legislation, like reporting \$600 transactions through various cash apps. You have lost **ALL** your **LIBERTY** – you don't know it yet. They could simply create some nonsense and prevent you from donating to Trump or RFK and just make up some nonsense charge. The January 6th had unmarked buses filled with federal agents dressed as MAGA supporters before anyone showed up to stage the event so they could charge Trump and use the 14th Amendment to prevent him from gaining the White House. The Democrats refused to let RFK in, and Biden refused to give him Secret Service protection. In Florida, they tried to remove any contender from the ballot to challenge Biden. This is all about

creating war. They let the border open to allow terrorists in so they can declare Martial Law and restrict everyone's movement. All **FREEDOM** has been lost!



What Trudeau did in Canada permitted the bypassing of due process of law, which is the foundation of a free society. Wake up! Digitization of the monetary system will allow them to totally kill all dissent. There will be **NOTHING** left standing. This is what **2032** is all about. We are the ants beneath their feet. Anyone who thinks they care at all about us is an absolute fool.

2032


We cannot stop it. This is **NECESSARY** for political change. This is them fighting to retain power when they fully understand that this monetary system is collapsing. This is not going to be this Great Taking. That would be an instantaneous revolution. They

are not that stupid for even the army would rise up against them. This is about total control leaving you with your trinkets.

As this is rolled out, **ONLY** then will it open the eyes of the masses. Unfortunately, this is also why movements like Transgender, Black Lives Matter, you name it, are all about dividing the people. They **MUST** keep the people divided and fighting among themselves so they do not unite against the government, where the common denominator is **FREEDOM**.



It was Julius Caesar who said – Divide and conquer. Hitler attacked the Jews BECAUSE they were the bankers, and he needed to blame the hyperinflation on the bankers. He divided the people and then came – Papers, please! That is precisely what they are doing to us with CBDCs. This is the purpose of Gates' UN-organized digital IDs. To prevent freedom of movement. Digital IDs to vaccine passports are all designed to prevent movement. Europe, as of January 1, 2025, will require visas from Americans to visit, and they will apply your social credit score to determine if you are eligible. Still, they intend to start World War III before that, creating an external distraction to divert people from the loss of liberty. This is the government's objective– the enemy of freedom – as always, no matter what century or culture to look at.



Categories:

CRYPTOCURRENCY

CIVILIZATION

BLOG ALERTS

Subscribe to alerts for each new post

Email *

Submit

California Circles The Toilet Bowl



BY TYLER DURDEN

SUNDAY, DEC 17, 2023 - 08:40 AM

[Authored by MN Gordon via EconomicPrism.com.](#)

"I go with the word 'serious.' A serious budget problem. I would stop short of calling it a crisis."

– Legislative Analyst Gabriel Petek, on California's \$68 billion deficit

What Was It Like?

California, without question, is a great state to be from.

We lived there for nearly 45 years. We made our [California exodus](#) in July 2022. No regrets.

In fact, not living in California becomes a greater blessing with each passing day. Moreover, depending on the time lived there, and the decades encompassed, plenty of insight can be found in the answers to three simple questions.

What was it like? What happened? What is it like now?

The answer to the first question comes with warm reminiscence. A fond nostalgia for a California that long ago faded from existence.

In the early 20th century, before the mania to splatter every square foot of the LA Basin's surface with concrete took hold of the local spirits, the place was a magnet for eccentrics and madmen. On any average day, Howard Hughes, a total lunatic, would [crash test](#) his latest flying machine into Beverly Hills.

Italian immigrant Simon Rodia, however, was the real archetypical California oddball. For reasons unknown, and between swigs of malt liquor, he worked nearly every day from 1921 to 1955 chicken wiring steel pipes and rods together, erecting numerous towering eyesores in his backyard in the Watts district of Los Angeles.

Then, after 34 years of this madness, Rodia, on a whim, deeded the property to his neighbor and hopped a bus to the East Bay. No one in Watts ever heard from him again. But his monstrosities, known as the [Watts Towers](#), are now a National Historic Landmark. Go figure?

There was also Griffith J. Griffith, who amassed a fortune in the mining industry. That was before he shot his wife in the eye while staying in the presidential suite of Santa Monica's Arcadia Hotel.

To make good for his transgressions – and to commute his time in San Quentin to just two years – Griffith donated the land for Griffith Park to Los Angeles and funded the City's observatory. Without Griffith's

could go was up.

This was back when the infrastructure shined. And Hollywood made descent movies. It was also the beginning of a long property boom...where, for the next 50-years, property values went up without interruption.

Even the most harebrained business ventures were almost guaranteed to succeed. For example, you could buy an old mail service boat – like [John Clearman](#) did – tow it from the Long Beach Harbor up to a wide open corner lot on Huntington Drive in the San Gabriel Valley, plop it down, and get rich selling cheese toast and red cabbage salad out of it.

This was before zoning codes, land use master plans, and city permits spoiled all the fun. Was the world a better place? It was certainly freer.

Private eccentricity in California these days has been regulated away like the free-flowing carburetor. In its place, there's now state-sponsored [Transgender History Month](#) – the nation's first of its kind – and countless other acts of public madness. The cutting edge of public policy, guided by academic retard, slices through the land.

Over several decades, state and local governments were taken over by control freak sociopaths.

Moreover, their socialist policies transformed many of the urban areas into unlivable hellholes.

Shelling out for all the waste championed in Sacramento and various City Halls made it impossible for the average guy, who just wanted to work hard and pay his way, to get ahead.



What Is It Like Now?

Today, California persists as a place of sky-high taxes, crumbling infrastructure, woke idiocy, and mass homeless encampments. Where grifters and freeloaders hold hands in symbiotic disharmony. Together, they exercise the malady of a mega homeless industrial complex in return for government lard.

The primary objective of the homeless industrial complex has nothing to do with getting people off the streets. Rather, dollars alone equal victory. And more money is the ultimate aim.

Unfortunately for taxpayers, more money isn't limited to securing private funds. It involves appropriating public funds and directing them towards the technocratic vision of forced philanthropy.

According to a 2022 city audit, in the City of Los Angeles it costs [\\$837,000](#) to build a single housing unit for one homeless person. In another instance, because of self-imposed regulatory knots, it took [17 years](#) to build 49 affordable housing units in Boyle Heights.

Yet, this madness extends statewide. In San Jose, for example, it costs [\\$938,700](#) to build a single unit of affordable housing. Certainly, there's plenty of graft built into California's homeless industrial complex. Did you get your cut?

California Circles the Toilet Bowl

Alas, countless other examples of government insanity extend up and down the entire state. Take the California Teachers Association. Rather than teaching reading, writing, and arithmetic, the massive state teachers union [hides](#) student gender identities from parents as a matter of legal policy.

There's also [Ebony Alert](#) – a faux-liberal twist on Amber Alert. And for reasons unclear, there are state-mandated [gender-neutral toy aisles](#), which include escalating fines for noncompliance.

So, now, with all these displays of public madness, California is circling the toilet bowl.

Quite frankly, the golden state has run out of money to finance all the bloat, graft, incompetence, and stoopid diktats.

This was the conclusion that was recently provided by the Legislative Analyst's Office. From the [Executive Summary](#) of California's 2024-25 Fiscal Outlook:

"California Faces a \$68 Billion Deficit. Largely as a result of a severe revenue decline in 2022?23, the state faces a serious budget deficit. Specifically, under the state's current law and policy, we estimate the Legislature will need to solve a budget problem of \$68 billion in the upcoming budget process."

If you didn't know, in California the top 1 percent of taxpayers pay 50 percent of state income tax. The top 0.1 percent pays a third. **Politicians exploit this progressive tax system by making outrageous promises to the non-taxpaying masses.**

As [CalMatters](#) notes, Governor Newsom will likely close the record deficit by dipping into \$24 billion of emergency funds and by commandeering \$10 billion previously allocated for transportation, environmental and education programs.

By our rough calculation that cuts the \$68 billion deficit in half. Where will the other \$34 billion come from? Will the top 1 percent pay it?



Come January 1, the top income tax rate spikes to 14.4 percent, up from 13.3 percent. Moreover, workers making over \$61,214 will pay 10.4 percent of their income to the state, which is up from the current 9.3 percent.

This is in addition to federal income tax, social security tax, medicare tax, sales tax, property tax, and numerous other licensing fees and exactions.

There's also the inflation tax.

This is why in many parts of California a pre-tax income of \$61,214 won't get you very far.

Indeed, California's a great state to be from. Thus as California circles the toilet bowl the [state exodus](#) goes on.

* * *


Today, more than ever, unconventional investing ideas are needed. Discover how to protect your wealth and financial privacy, using the [Financial First Aid Kit](#).

97,983 476

MORE POLITICAL STORIES ON ZEROHEDGE



[Media Matters Sues Texas AG in Maryland Over Investigation Of Its Report On Musk's X](#)



[The Multifront Attack On Elon Musk](#)