IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS REPORT ON FY 08/09 ACTIVITIES

County:_Plumas

Date: May 17, 2010

Instructions: Welfare and Institutions Code section 5848 specifies that DMH shall establish requirements for the content of the annual update and updates including reports on the achievement of performance outcomes for services. Provide an update on the overall progress of the County's implementation of the MHSA including CSS, PEI and WET components during **FY 2008/09.**

CSS, WET and PEI

1. Briefly report on how the implementation of the MHSA is progressing: whether implementation activities are generally proceeding as described in the County's approved Plan, any key differences, and any major challenges.

- Plumas County's operation of FY 2008/09 MHSA direct client services were implemented and progressed in close alignment to the work plans. Challenges were encountered throughout the year in regards to: client employment retention; the successful recruitment and retention of paraprofessionals for provision of case management; and meeting the demand for services due to the uptick in new clients (mostly based on the poor economy). Thus, the unforeseen events involved human resources and the local economy.

- Plumas' Community Services and Support work plan (for FY 2008/09) included developing a response to DMH's suggestion to "integrate" all funding and write another three year plan. Plumas' work plan foretold of a return to the community with extensive community forums (such as was performed in the initial three-year planning process 2004/05) and to potentially have this effort conducted via a third party contract. Ultimately, DMH did not require the development of a three year plan; thus, Plumas did not implement planning for three years as described above. Plumas conducted scaled down community input/involvement as an "adaptation" to a one year plan development requirement.

2. Provide a brief narrative description of progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with emphasis on reducing racial/ethnic service disparities.

- In 2008, Plumas continued their outreach and planning for increasing services to the Hispanic population. Recruitment of a bilingual staff member began at the end of 2008/09, and implementation of service occurred in the next fiscal year (2009/10).

- The development of the Greenville satellite site progressed through 2008/09, improving outreach and services to the geographical area with the greatest population concentration of Native Americans.

2010/11 ANNUAL UPDATE **EXHIBIT C1**

IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS REPORT
ON FY 08/09 ACTIVITIES

County:_Plumas

Date: May 17, 2010

3. Provide the following information on the number of individuals served:

	CSS	PEI
Age Group	**# of individuals**	**# of individuals (for universal prevention, use estimated #)**
Child and Youth	**75**	**0**
Transition Age Youth	**94**	**0**
Adult	**393**	**0**
Older Adult	**1**	**0**
Race/Ethnicity		
White	**114**	**0**
African/American	**2**	**0**
Asian	**0**	**0**
Pacific Islander	**1**	**0**
Native – American	**4**	**0**
Hispanic	**0**	**0**
Multi	**0**	**0**
Other – Unknown	**21**	**0**
Other Cultural Groups		
LGBTQ	**n/a**	**0**
Other	**n/a**	**0**
Primary Language		
Spanish	**2**	**0**
Vietnamese	**0**	**0**
Cantonese	**0**	**0**
Mandarin	**0**	**0**
Tagalog	**0**	**0**
Cambodian	**0**	**0**
Hmong	**0**	**0**
Russian	**0**	**0**
Farsi	**0**	**0**
Arabic	**0**	**0**
Other – English Unknown	**138** **2**	**0**

WET	
Funding Category	**# of individuals**
Workforce Staff Support	6
Training/Technical Assist.	30
MH Career Pathway	n/a
Residency & Internship	1
Financial Incentive	3
[ ] WET not implemented in 08/09	

Note: Outreach through many Plumas' community functions occurred where no demographic information was recorded (just head counts) = 218 individuals with no data.

PEI

4. Please provide the following information for each PEI Project:

a) The problems and needs addressed by the Project.
b) The type of services provided.
c) Any outcomes data, if available. (Optional)
d) The type and dollar amount of leveraged resources and/ or in-kind contributions (if applicable).

PEI was not implemented in FY 08/09 in Plumas County; therefore, there are no reports.